



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,446		11/21/2003	Yung-Pin Kuo	MR2049-446	8054
4586	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	EIN & LEE	HURLEY, SHAUN R		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 10 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			TE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22.0011	, .	, 2.0.0	•	3765	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(T	N
4	' '

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/717,446	KUO, YUNG-PIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shaun R Hurley	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2003.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/717,446

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **braided** steel wires must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 3, line 10: "cab" should read --can---

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,446

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Specification in view of Benk (3141372), and further in view of Rexroad et al (6000498).

Applicant's specification teaches as well known a cable structure (Figure 2) for a weight lifting exerciser comprising a core comprising a braid of steel wires (4) and a protective outer wrap (3). While Applicant's specification teaches the cable, including a protective barrier, he fails to specifically teach a second protective nylon wrap in addition to a first protective nylon wrap, which Benk teaches (Column 2, lines 44-57 teaches structure; line 60 teaches material). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize a second wrap of nylon, so as to better protect the core. Benk teaches us that plural nylon wraps is well known in the cable art, providing additional protection against abrasion to the inner core by providing numerous layers to wear through, thus extending the life of the overall cable structure. The ordinarily skilled artisan would have understood this benefit, and would have known to utilize two nylon wraps in the cable structure of Benk.

The cable of Applicant's specification further essentially teaches the invention as described above, but fails to teach differing colors for the cable layers, allowing for damage to be visually obvious. Rexroad teaches that utilizing different colors between layers is well known in the art for allowing for visual damage inspection (Column 6 line 61 - Column 7 line 5). It would

Application/Control Number: 10/717,446

Art Unit: 3765

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize the differing colors as taught by Rexroad, so as to provide the cable of Applicant's Specification with a simple indicating mechanism for the ordinarily skilled artisan to use, allowing for easier cable wear determination.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun'R Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 6:30 am 3:00 pm, off second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SRH 18 March 2005

Patent Examiner
Tech Center 3700